



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,644	03/29/2004	Kelly Rollin	MSFT122019	4949
26389	7590	10/22/2007		
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			ORR, HENRY W	
SUITE 2800				
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,644	ROLLIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Henry Orr	2176	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Henry Orr.

(3) Melanie J. Seelig.

(2) Doug Hutton.

(4) David P. Sheldon.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 60 and 74.

Identification of prior art discussed: Strittmatter et al. of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Henry Orr
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained Figure 1 does not contain only prior art but instead Figure 1 is an exemplary system of the present invention. Examiner believed Applicant's rationale if made of record would be sufficient to overcome objection to Figure 1.

Applicant suggested a proposed amendment for claim 60 to direct the claim towards a system claim by reciting hardware such as devices. Examiner agreed that such an amendment to claim 60 would be sufficient to direct the claim under a statutory category (i.e., system).

To avoid new matter issues, Applicant will cite support for any amendments made to the claims.

Examiner clarified the 35 U.S.C 112 2nd issue with claim 74 regarding the preamble reciting "to a common dialog" and the body of the claim reciting "from the common dialog". Applicant intends to remedy the indefiniteness of claim 74 in the next response made of record. .